



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,535	09/01/2006	Piet Herdewijn	50304/138001	6995
21559	7590			
CLARK & ELBING LLP 101 FEDERAL STREET BOSTON, MA 02110				
EXAMINER				
CRANE, LAWRENCE E				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1623				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/07/2011		ELECTRONIC		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentadministrator@clarkelbing.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/598,535  <b>Examiner</b> Lawrence E. Crane	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HERDEWIJN ET AL.  <b>Art Unit</b> 1623
---	--	--

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Lawrence E. Crane.

(2) Sean Edman.

**Date of Interview:** 1 February 2011

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Status of Application:** Amendment after final filed

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 12 Noon EST

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*all remaining of record*

Claims discussed:  
*all remaining of record*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Applicant was queried concerning progress in re an extensive proposed examiner's amendment. Applicant's representative indicated most changes proposed were OK but that no response had been received to date in re remaining sticking points.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  

/Lawrence E. Crane/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1623

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)